Excellent. 8:15?

Sent from my iPhone

On May 22, 2017, at 3:25 PM, Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

> No, I am usually here before that.
>
> On Mon, May 22, 2017 at 3:24 PM, Downey Magallanes
> <downey_magallanes@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
>> Is 8:00 or 8:15 too early tomorrow?
>>
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>
>> On May 22, 2017, at 10:45 AM, Bowman, Randal
>> <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
>>
>>
>>> It likely will be Friday before we can start analyzing public
>>> comments, and I'd appreciate a chance to go over my initial list of
>>> what to sort for - which I'm told is too complicated - against what
>>> you really need. My initial list is below.
>>>
>>> ## DRAFT CODES FOR SORTING PUBLIC COMMENTS
>>>
>>> Information we want to capture from substantive comments (not in
>>> priority order):
>>>
>>> name of monument, or multiple/all, then if there is information on
>>> -
>>>
>>> public access
>>>
>>> cultural, particularly Tribal, or historic sites not currently included

in a monument

cultural, particularly Tribal, or historic sites not adequately protected by Proclamation or regulations

recreational use – hunting, fishing, hiking

inholdings

legal comments or analysis

grazing

oil, gas or minerals

whether monument exceeds "the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected";

whether protected resources in monument are appropriately classified as "historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, [or] other objects of historic or scientific interest";

the effects of a designation on the available uses of adjoining Federal lands beyond the monument boundaries;

the effects of a designation on the use and enjoyment of non-Federal lands within or beyond monument boundaries;

if comment is from State, Tribal or local government

public input on establishment of the Monument

proposed other Monuments for review

significant "other" – reviewer to note subject(s) and report them at
end of shift